

AUTO MECHANISM FOR ELIMINATING SAFETY INCIDENTS DURING PBB TUNNEL ROPE FAILURE

"DARE TO TRY CATEGORY"

Presented By: Engineering & Technical Services Date:12.01.2021





To implement Auto Mechanism for safety of passenger boarding bridges during tunnel rope fail.



- PBBs are used in airport for passenger safe boarding and De-boarding in all weathers condition.
- PBB tunnels is designed with telescopic mechanism to have safe extension and retraction during operation.
- PBBs are provided to reduce traffic movement on the apron area.
- PBB's are used as pathway by passenger's from terminal to aircraft and vice versa.



- ✓ PBB's Will be extended and retracted during operations and it is guided by rollers and balanced by steel ropes.
- Passenger boarding bridges are fasten with a two steel ropes to guide the tunnels during PBB extension and retraction.
- \checkmark These steel ropes plays a crucial role during the bridge movement.

Humility | Entrepreneurship | Teamwork and Relationships | Deliver the Promise | Learning | Social Responsibility | Respect for Individual



PBB comprises of multiple tunnels whose movement is balanced by tunnel ropes.

Following are the risks involved in Operations :-

- 1) Steel rope cut
- 2) Operational Errors

The above rope damage risk is a challenging issue cannot be predicted by operator during operation.









- During our regular inspection it is observed that some of the rope strands of the steel rope are got cut which will results in reduction in strength of steel rope and this will be replaced during regular maintenance.
- If the strength of the rope gets impact and result in wear and tear condition it leads to a major safety impact.
- To eliminate this probability of negligence a team is formed to explore a auto mechanism solution





PBB Tunnels are connected with steel ropes which is balancing the tunnel

movement during extension and retraction of PBB.

In case rope cut during operation the speed of the tunnel will be uncontrolled which may lead to major incident and resulting in damage of PBB or Aircraft.







ACTIVITY PLAN (TIMELINE)



Actual

G/



Humility | Entrepreneurship | Teamwork and Relationships | Deliver the Promise | Learning | Social Responsibility | Respect for Individual

Plan

TEAM PARTICIPATION





Project Definition





| 11 | IDEA DISCUSSION ON PBB TUNNEL ROPE ISSUE | | | | |
|----|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| # | AGENDA | DATE | | | |
| 1 | BRAIN STORMING SESSION 1 | 5/7/2019 | | | |
| 2 | BRAIN STORMING SESSION 2 | 11/7/2019 | | | |
| 3 | DISCUSSION ON COLLECTION OF IDEAS | 16/7/2019 | | | |
| 4 | DISCUSSION ON FINALIZATION OF SOLUTION | 9/8/2019 | | | |
| 4 | DISCUSSION ON ARRANGEMENT OF MATERIALS/SPARES | 17/8/2019 | | | |
| 6 | RESULT ANALYSIS | 20/9/2019 | | | |



| | IDEA DISCUS | SION ON PBB TUNN | EL ROPE ISSUE | |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| | VENUE : PTB CONFERENCE HALL | | DATE : 09/07/2019 | |
| SL NO | EMPLOYEE NAME | ORGANISATION | SIGNATURE | |
| 1 | TOSIP forul | TS | Tyau | |
| 2 | (TUD-Jekan. | T. J. | Why. | |
| 3 | Tayouf.A. | Ty | For | |
| 4 | 10 SEREDAM REDEN | Thursen knup | p May. | |
| 5 | S. Bhaskar | Thy seen kyu | PP & | |
| 6 | Ktest | Typenpup | i den | |
| 7 | Ywaray | Thysen br | P Wel | |
| 8 | R. Hori Hora Kuma | T.S | Rui | |
| 9 | Chan Papase Comme | | (1) | |





IDEAS FROM BRAIN STORMING











Additional rope for tunnel supporting

Installation of Mechanical stopper for tunnel supporting rope abnormalities.

Provision of alert system to operator.

Controlling the tunnel movement through PLC logics during rope failure..

Installing Brake system to minimize impact during rope damage

Replacing Ropes proactively on defined time



PROJECT OBJECTIVE

Business Creating an Excellent Tomorrow, Today

GAR







| | FAILURE MODE ANALY | EFFECTIVE SIS | Business Excellence | Creating an Excellent Tomorrow, Today |
|------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|
| Project | Diagnostic | Remedial | | Holding |
| Definition | Journey | Journey | | The Gains |

FAILURE MODE EFFECTIVE ANALYSIS(FMEA)

| Equipment Name | Passenger Boarding Bridge | | Date:20.09.2019 | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Rev:1 | | |
| Failure Mode | A) Severity Rate 1-10 (10 is most severe) | B)Probability of Occurrence Rate 1-10 (10 is most severe) | C)Probability of detection Rate 1-10 (10 is least severe) | Risk Performance Number(RPN) A*B*C | |
| Strength of the stopper less | 7 | 3 | 5 | 105 | |
| Stopper not fixed properly | 8 | 3 | 4 | 96 | |
| Heavy force and vibration | 9 | 9 | 2 | 162 | |
| Operator Error | 3 | 4 | 7 | 84 | |
| Ambient condition | 5 | 3 | 3 | 45 | |

From the above FMEA analysis it was concluded that stopper idea was unsuccessful mainly due to more force and heavy vibration occurred during tunnel got unbalanced due to rope failure.

LEARNINGS



G/

Holding The Gains









- There is no feasibility to fix stronger stoppers.
- Due to heavy force and vibration during the uncontrollable movement of tunnel the equipment damage is high.
- As the force cannot be controlled it is required to prevent the tunnel movement itself once the rope damages.
- Tunnel movement can be prevented only if the operator gets alert before rope gets damaged.
- Once the operator gets the alert he can either stop the operation or adjust the bridge height accordingly to prevent the tunnel movement due to rope damage.



IDEAS FROM LEARNINGS













Additional rope for tunnel supporting

Replacing Ropes proactively on defined time

Provision of alarm system to alert the operator.

Controlling the tunnel movement through PLC logics during rope failure..

Installing Brake system to minimize impact during rope damage

Regular inspection of ropes by operator before every operation



INSTALLATION OF ALARM SYSTEM











Coordinating with OEM to use the feedback from sensor through PLC to control the PBB tunnel once any sort of abnormality is observed in the Rope.





Major Benefit is the probability of PBB hitting/Creating scratches in aircraft will be reduced which might leads to major safety incident moreover the charges /penalty the airlines providing to airport operator also neglected because the penalty will be in billions which cannot be measurable.



